

AMENDMENTS TO THE CLAIMS

Claims 1-21 (Canceled).

22. (New) A method comprising:
providing a first taken/not-taken prediction responsive to an address using a saturating counter branch predictor;
providing (1) a second taken/not-taken prediction responsive to the address resulting in a hit in a local branch history table, and (2) a hit/miss indication for the address, wherein the second prediction is generated by a decision function based on a history value from a matching entry of the local branch history table, the decision function being implemented by combinational logic that has no memory so as to reduce on-chip area; and
selecting for the address one of (1) the second prediction if the indication is a hit, and (2) the first prediction if the indication is a miss.
23. (New) The method of claim 22 further comprising:
hashing the address prior to indexing at least one of the saturating counter branch predictor and the local branch history table.
24. (New) The method of claim 22 further comprising:
updating a replacement field for a matching entry in the local branch history table only if the first prediction is incorrect, indicating that the entry is used to make a prediction, and
updating a history field for the matching entry in the local branch history table with the outcome of an executed branch instruction, only if the first prediction is incorrect and the second prediction is correct.
25. (New) The method of claim 22 further comprising:
fetching at least one instruction at the address, where if the instruction is a branch, a determination as to whether the branch is taken or not-taken will not be available until the instruction has progressed beyond a decode stage; and
decoding the at least one instruction, wherein at least one of the first and second predictions is available when the at least one instruction is being decoded.

26. (New) The method of claim 25 wherein the at least one instruction is a branch, the method further comprising:
determining a target address of the branch; and
loading an instruction pointer generator with the target address if at least one of the first and second predictions indicates that the branch is to be taken.

27. (New) A processor comprising:
an instruction pointer (IP) generator capable of providing an address;
saturating counter branch prediction (SCBP) logic having an input coupled to the IP generator and capable of providing a first taken/not-taken prediction at an output responsive to the address;

local branch history prediction (LBHP) logic having an input coupled to the IP generator and capable of providing (1) a second taken/not-taken prediction at an output responsive to the address resulting in a hit, and (2) a hit/miss indication for the address, wherein the LBHP logic includes at least one local branch history table to provide a taken/not-taken history from a matching entry in said table in response to said hit and combinational logic that is predetermined at the time the processor is built to implement without memory a decision function whose output is the second prediction based on the taken/not-taken history;

a multiplexer having an input coupled to the outputs of the SCBP and LBHP logic and a select input coupled to receive the hit/miss indication and in response provide (1) the second prediction if there is a hit and (2) the first prediction if there is a miss.

28. (New) The processor of claim 27 further comprising:
address hash logic coupled between the IP generator and the inputs of the SCBP and LBHP logic to provide a plurality of index values to at least one of the SCBP and LBHP logic.

29. (New) The processor of claim 27 wherein the SCBP logic includes a bimodal predictor.

30. (New) The processor of claim 27 wherein the LBHP logic includes a plurality of local branch history tables each to provide a tag and a taken/not-taken

history associated with the tag in response to a hit, compare logic coupled to each of the plurality of tables to determine the hit/miss indication, history multiplexer coupled to each of the plurality of tables to provide the history for the hit, and wherein the combinational logic is coupled to an output of the history multiplexer to provide the second taken/not-taken prediction.

31. (New) The process of claim 27 further comprising:

logic to update a replacement field for said matching entry only if the first prediction is incorrect, indicating that the entry is used to make a prediction, and to update a history field for said matching entry with the outcome of an executed branch instruction only if the first prediction is incorrect and the second prediction is correct.

32. (New) The processor of claim 27 further comprising:

an instruction fetch stage of a pipeline; and
an instruction decode stage of the pipeline, and wherein the prediction at the output of the multiplexer is available when the address is being processed by an instruction decode stage of a pipeline.

33. (New) The processor of claim 32 wherein the decode stage is capable of determining a target address of a branch instruction located at the address, the processor further comprising:

control logic coupled to load the IP generator with the branch target address if an output of the multiplexer indicates, for the address, that a branch is predicted to be taken.

34. (New) The processor of claim 27 wherein the address points to a cache line having a plurality of instructions.

35. (New) An apparatus comprising:

means for providing an address of at least one instruction;
means for providing a first taken/not-taken branch prediction based upon the current state of a state machine and responsive to the address;

local branch history prediction (LBHP) logic having an input coupled to the address providing means and capable of providing (1) a second taken/not-taken prediction at an output responsive to the address resulting in a hit, and (2) a hit/miss

indication for the address, wherein the LBHP logic includes at least one local branch history prediction table to provide a taken/not-taken history from a history field of a matching entry in said table in response to said hit and means for generating without memory the second prediction based on the taken/not-taken history; and

a multiplexer having an input coupled to the outputs of the first prediction means and the LBHP logic and a select input coupled to receive the hit/miss indication and in response provide (1) the second prediction if there is a hit and (2) the first prediction if there is a miss;

means for updating said history field, with the outcome of an executed branch instruction that is pointed to by said address, only if the first prediction is incorrect and the second prediction is correct.

36. (New) The apparatus of claim 35 further comprising:

means for encoding the address to provide a plurality of index values to at least one of the first prediction means and the LBHP logic.

37. (New) The apparatus of claim 35 wherein the LBHP logic includes a plurality of local branch history prediction tables each to provide a tag and a taken/not-taken history associated with the tag in response to a hit, compare logic coupled to each of the plurality of tables to determine the hit/miss indication, history multiplexer coupled to each of the plurality of tables to provide the history for the hit, and wherein the generating without memory means is coupled to an output of the history multiplexer to provide the second taken/not-taken prediction.

38. (New) The apparatus of claim 35 further comprising:

means for fetching at least one instruction at the address; and

means for decoding the at least one instruction, wherein at least one of the first and second predictions is available when the at least one instruction is being decoded.

39. (New) The apparatus of claim 38 wherein the at least one instruction is a branch, the apparatus further comprising:

means for determining a target address of the branch; and

means for fetching at least one instruction at the target address if at least one of the first and second predictions indicates that the branch is to be taken.

40. (New) A method comprising:
providing a first taken/not-taken prediction responsive to an address using a saturating counter branch predictor;
providing a second taken/not-taken prediction responsive to the address resulting in a hit in a local branch history table, wherein the second prediction is generated using a decision function based on a taken/not-taken history value from a history field of a matching entry of the local branch history table, the decision function being implemented by combinational logic that has no counter or state machine; and
selecting for the address one of the first prediction and the second prediction depending on whether or not the address resulted in said hit.

41. (New) The method of claim 40 further comprising:
updating a replacement field, for an existing entry in the local branch history table that includes a history field containing a plurality of taken/not-taken outcomes of one or more previously executed branch instructions associated with said address, only if the first prediction is incorrect.

42. (New) The method of claim 41 further comprising:
updating said history field based on an outcome of an executed branch instruction that is pointed to by said address, only if the first prediction is incorrect and the second prediction is correct.